



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/738,647	12/15/2000		Henricus Antonius Wilhelmus Van Gestel	PHN 17,798	1569	
24737	7590	09/07/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS I P.O. BOX 3		ECTUAL PROP	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K			
		OR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2675		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/738,647	VAN GESTEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

There final re condit	REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued in the interval of the compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	In
fee hav fee und (2) as s	Average of the victorial of the period of extension and the period of extension and the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension we been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a	a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b	b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6,8-16</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.	Other:	
,	DENNIS-DOON CHOW PRIMARY EXAMINER	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: With regards to applicant's arguments, Applicant argues where Shojima et al do not select a display font base on a comparison of handwritten characters with characters in each of a plurality of fonts. Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. Shojima et a in the abstract, disclose a handwritten character recognition apparatus with a personal dictionary preparation function that has a characte recognition unit for comparing an input handwritten character with a standard font directory to recognize similar character pattern and a display unit for selecting and displaying a display font corresponding to the recognized character. Shojima et al disclose in col. 4, lines 25 26, where a recognition step is carried out by a pattern matching method. This clearly shows the limitations set forth by the Applicant's application.

Applicant argues in the response where the font is one of a plurality of standard fonts available such as Casual, Hyena, and Comic sans MS. Applicant discloses in the claim limitations "display font" which is broadly interpreted to mean any font that can be displayed on a display. The prior art Shojima discloses standard fonts which can be interpreted to mean any one of Casual, Hyena, and Comic sans MS

as these are standard fonts as disclosed by the applicant.

Shojima et al fail to disclose a creation unit. Cok discloses a creation unit in Figs. 4-6, col. 4, lines 53-col. 5, lines 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the creation unit of Cok into that of Shojima et al as Shojima et al disclose a personal font directory which can be used to store personal fonts. This personal directory of Shojima et al with the creation unit is advantageous as it allows the user to create and utilize a unique font based on certain handlinesters.

The combination of Shojima et al and Cok disclose each limitation as is set forth by the applicant's application...